SEXUAL DIMORPHISM IN CALLASOBRUCHUS CHINENSIS (L.) (BRUCHIDAE COLEOPTERA)¹

G.S. Shukla, Sanjaya Kumar Pandey²

This paper deals with sexual dimorphism in *Callasobruchus-chinensis* (L.) in a laboratory culture. A number of specimens were examined to find sexual differences in the various organ structures in both male and female.

There is a marked difference in the structure of antennae in males and females. These differences are listed below.

Male

- 1. Apical segment of antenna is elongated and oblong in shape.
- 2. Antennal segments are deeply serrated.
- 3. Serration becomes more prominent from fourth segment onward.
- 4. Antennae move in right and left directions.
- 5. Antennae are curved inside, i.e. towards each other.
- 6. No movement is recorded on a touch stimulus i.e. negatively thigmotrophic (exhibits death feigning).

Female

- 1. Apical segment is somewhat bluntly rounded or ovate.
- 2. Antennal segments not deeply serrated.
- 3. Serration becomes prominent from fifth segment onward.
- 4. Antennae exhibit a forward and backward motion.
- 5. Antennae are straight in their morphological feature.
- 6. Movement is recorded during a touch stimulus given to the specimens i.e. positively thigmotrophic. (death feigning negative)

¹Accepted for publication: June 18, 1977

² Entomological Laboratory, Zoology Department, University of Gorakhpur (Gorakhpur) U.P. [India]

ENT. NEWS 88: 9 & 10: 265-266, November & December, 1977



DIAGRAM SHOWING ANTENNA OF <u>Callasobruchus chinensis</u>

REFERENCES

- Bridwell, J.C. 1929. Description of a bruchid immigrant into Hawaii in the seeds of Convolulaceae (Cleoptera) Proc. Ent. Soc. Wash. 31-112-114.
- Kingsolver, J.M. 1970. A study of male genitalia in Bruchidae (Col.). Proc. Entomol. Soc. Wash. 72, 370, 386. Syst. Ent. Lab., Agr. Res. Serv. USDA, c/o U.S. Nat. Mus., Washington, D.C. 20560, USA.
- Mukherji, Sy Chatterjee, SA 1951. Morphology of the genital structures of some of the Bruchidae (Lariidae) of India & Ceylon and their taxonomic importance. Indian J. Ent. 13(6): 1-26.
- Mukerji, S. Ramdas Menon, M.G.Y. Chatterjee, S.N. 1957. The taxonomic position of Caryedon fuscus (Goeze), C. gonager, (Fabricius) & C. longidus (Gyllen hal.) (Coleoptera: Bruchidae) based on a study of the genitalia. Proc. R. Ent. Soc. Lond. (B) 26:103-106.
- Pic M. m.W. Junk. 1913. Coleopterorum catalogus 55:24.
- Roth, L.M. and E.R. Willis. Smithsonian Misc. Coll. 122, (12) 1-49 + Pl. 3(1955).
- Sharp, D.Y. Muir, F. 1912. The comparative anatomy of the male genital tube in Coleoptera. Trans. Ent. Soc. Lond. P. 557-558 tab. 62.
- Shomar, N.F.H. 1963. A Monographic. Revision of the Bruchidae of Egypt (U.A.R.) Bull. Soc. Ent. Egypte 47:141-196.
- Southgate, B.J., Howe, R.W.Y. Brett, Ga. 1957 a. The specific status of Callasobruchus maculatus (F.) & Callasobruchus analis (F.) Bull. Ent. Resh. 49: 79-89.
- Southgate, B.J. 1958. Systematic notes on species of *Callasobruchus* of economic importance. Bull. Ent. Resh. 49: 591-599.
- Southgate, B.J. 1963. The true identity of the broom bruchid (Coleoptera) & synonymic notes on other species of Bruchidae. Ann. Ent. Soc. Amer 56: 795-798.
- Teran, A.L. 1969. Observations on the genital structure of the males of some species in the Bruchidae (Col.)